

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,689	06/25/2001	Phillip E. Byrd	4715US (00-1057)	1027	
24247	7590 05/15/2003	1			
TRASK BRITT		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			CHANG, RIC	CK KILTAE	
		1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3729	11	
			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2003	, ()	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	* .		)   A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	
			o	9/888,689	BYRD, PHILLIP E.	
	Offic	Action Summ	ary E	xaminer	Art Unit	-
			R	ick K. Chang	3729	
		LING DATE of this c	ommunication appear	s on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
Period fo						
THE External forms of the Failure Angle	MAILING Insigns of time residues of time residues of the reply period for reply reto reply withingly received by	DATE OF THIS COI may be available under the HS from the mailing date of y specified above is less that by is specified above, the main the set or extended perio	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply with aximum statutory period will ap d for reply will, by statute, cau e months after the mailing date	pply and will expire SIX (6) MOI	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communi  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation
1)🖂	Respons	sive to communicati	on(s) filed on <u>26 Feb</u>	ruary 2003 .		
2a)□	· ·	on is <b>FINAL</b> .		ection is non-final.		
3)			••		atters, prosecution as to the me	rits
,—		accordance with the		parte Quayle, 1935 C		
· _			in the application			
			<u>5</u> is/are withdrawn fro	om consideration		
		is/are allowed		om consideration.		
6)⊠	, , -	is/are allowed <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.	<b>.</b>			
7)		<u></u> is/are objected: is/are objecte	ad to			
8)		-	o restriction and/or el	ection requirement		
,	on Papers		Testifedon and/or ch	codon requirement.		
9)🖂	The specifi	ication is objected t	o by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawin	ng(s) filed on	is/are: a)□ accepted	or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant	may not request that	any objection to the dr	awing(s) be held in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗌	The propos	sed drawing correct	ion filed on is:	a) approved b) □	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approve	ed, corrected drawing	s are required in reply t	o this Office action.		
12)	The oath o	r declaration is obje	ected to by the Exami	iner.		
Priority (	ınder 35 U	J.S.C. §§ 119 and 1	20			
13)	Acknowle	dgment is made of	a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)□	] Some * c)∏ No	ne of:			
	1.☐ Cer	tified copies of the	priority documents ha	ave been received.		
	2. Cer	tified copies of the	priority documents ha	ave been received in A	Application No	
* 0		application from the	e International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage	<del>)</del>
				he certified copies not		
					§ 119(e) (to a provisional appli	icati
				ional application has b riority under 35 U.S.C		
Attachmen	t(s)					
_		ces Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	

Application/Control Number: 09/888,689

Art Unit: 3729

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I, Species A and Species AA in Paper No. 10 and a telephone election to Species AA on 5/7/03 made by Mr. James Duzan are acknowledged. If the generic claim is allowed, all non-elected claims depending from the generic claim will also be allowed.

# **Specification**

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/888,689 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bierig (US 4,089,734).

Bierig discloses providing a substrate (16), disposing many traces (Fig. 4A), providing many probe elements (41 and 45), providing many fuse elements (44a...44e) in respective electrical communication with substantially each of the plurality of conductive traces (via 42), and Figs. 3A-3C shows fuse elements (39) are formed using a deposition process, as well as configured as shown in Fig. 3C to be repairable.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3729

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bierig (US 4,089,734) in view of Maruyama et al (US 5,832,595).

Bierig fails to disclose forming the fuse elements from copper.

Maruyama discloses forming the fuse elements from copper (Fig. 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bierig by forming the fuse elements from copper, as taught by Maruyama, for the purpose of saving production cost by using readily available and cheap material with good electrical conductivity characteristic.

#### Conclusion

- 9. Please provide reference numerals to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity. Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (703) 308-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Friday, except for maxi-flex day off (any one of working days).

Art Unit: 3729

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

RC May 13, 2003